

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/617,308 07/10/2003 Denis E. Hassick 7502 CO2 3587 7590 08/24/2005 **EXAMINER** Patent & Licensing Department METZMAIER, DANIEL S Ondeo Nalco Company ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Ondeo Nalco Center 1601 W. Diehl Road 1712 Naperville, IL 60563-1198

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/617,308	HASSICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	ly 2003.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 46-50 is/are pending in the application	l <b>.</b>	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-50</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul><li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau	* **	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔼 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/2003.		atent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/617,308

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

Claims 1-45 were originally filed (FD = 07/10/2003). Claims 1-69 and 71-75 were indicated to be cancelled on page 1 of the transmittal sheet (07/10/2003). As only claims 1-45 were originally filed, this has been interpreted as cancellation of the original claims. Also filed with said transmittal sheet is a preliminary amendment (07/10/2003), wherein claism 1-69 were indicated as withdrawn, claims 71-75 were indicated as withdrawn, claim 70 canceled and claims 76-79 are new.

Since applicants' clear intent was to cancel original claims 1-45, i.e., included as canceled claims 1-69 on the transmittal sheet, said claims have been canceled.

Claims 70, and 76-79 have been renumbered in accordance with 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1) as claims 46-50, respectively.

Said renumbering was clarified in a conversation with Michael B. Martin (Reg No. 37,521) on August 11, 2005.

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings were received on July 10, 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

### Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an Abstract of the Disclosure.

In chemical patent abstracts for compounds or compositions, the general nature of the compound or composition should be given as well as its use, *e.g.*, "The compounds are of the class of alkyl benzene sulfonyl ureas, useful as oral anti-diabetics." Exemplification of a species could be illustrative of members of the class. For processes, the type reaction, reagents and process conditions should be stated, generally illustrated by a single example unless variations are necessary.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,308 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

Complete revision of the content of the abstract is required on a separate sheet.

There is no mention of ferric chloride solution in the abstract but required by all the pending claims.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the crossnoting section of the specification is required to be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claims 47-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The concentrations set forth in claims are not ipso verba set forth in the original disclosure, appear to be calculated values, and/or are unsupported. Applicants should state for the record the basis for the limitations and/or take other action to obviate this rejection.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 10/617,308 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

applicant regards as the invention. Claim 46 should set forth what the "%" is based, i.e., wt/wt, wt/vol, vol/vol, etc..

In claim 47, the limitation "said aluminum chlorohydrate solution being about 50wt.% phosphorous acid" is indefinite because it is unclear whether said concentration includes the phosphorous acid solution (i.e., component b)) or separately includes 50 wt.% phosphorous acid as a an additional component.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

9. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,656,377. This is a double patenting rejection.

## **Double Patenting**

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 10/617,308

**Art Unit: 1712** 

1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 11. Claims 47-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,377. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims substantially overlap and the concentrations of the solutions employed in making the overall compositions do not specify the concentrations in the final composition. Furthermore, the compositions may optionally comprise water.
- 12. Claims 46-50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,306,308. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims substantially overlap.

### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 1712

272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM

to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

DSM